

EXHIBIT P

1 Scott R. Mosko (State Bar No. 106070)
2 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
3 GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.
4 Stanford Research Park
5 3300 Hillview Avenue
6 Palo Alto, California 94304
7 Telephone: (650) 849-6600
8 Facsimile: (650) 849-6666

6 Attorneys for Defendants
Connectu LLC, Cameron Winklevoss,
7 Tyler Winklevoss, Howard Winklevoss,
8 and Divya Narendra

10 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11 COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

13 THE FACEBOOK, INC.

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 CONNECTU LLC, CAMERON WINKLEVOSS,
17 TYLER WINKLEVOSS, HOWARD
18 WINKLEVOSS, DIVYA NARENDRA, AND
DOES 1-25,

19 Defendants.

CASE NO. 105 CV 047381

**AMENDED RESPONSE OF
DEFENDANT DIVYA NARENDRA TO
FORM INTERROGATORIES**

PROPOUNDING PARTY: Plaintiff THEFACEBOOK, INC.
RESPONDING PARTY: Defendant DIVYA NARENDRA
SET NO.: ONE (1)

TO PLAINTIFF AND ITS ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

The above-named party hereby responds, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030, to the form interrogatories as follows:

PREFATORY STATEMENT

It should be noted that this responding party has not fully completed its investigation of the facts relating to this case, has not completed discovery, and has not completed its preparation for trial.

All of the responses contained herein are based only upon such information and documents as are presently available to and specifically known to this responding party and disclose only those contentions which presently occur to such responding party.

It is anticipated that further discovery, independent investigation, legal research, and analysis will supply additional facts and add meaning to known facts, as well as establish entirely new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may lead to substantial additions to, changes in, and variations from the contentions herein set forth.

The following responses are given without prejudice to responding party's right to produce evidence of any subsequently discovery fact or facts which this responding party may later recall. Responding party accordingly reserves the right to change any and all answers herein as additional facts are ascertained, analyses are made, legal research is completed, and contentions are made. The responses contained herein are made in a good faith effort to supply as much factual information and as much specification of legal contentions as are presently known, but should in no way be to the prejudice of this responding party in relation to further discovery, research, or analysis.

Responding Party incorporates his initial responses to these Form Interrogatories. In addition, Responding Party adds the following:

1 **RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORIES**

2 **Response to 2.11**

3 Yes.

4 (a) ConnectU LLC

5 (b) See response to Special Interrogatory No. 14.

6 **Response to 8.2**

7 The nature of Responding Party's activities for ConnectU LLC is described in the response to
8 Special Interrogatory No. 14. See also transcripts from January 16, 2006 depositions. Responding
Party has never been employed by ConnectU LLC.

9 **Response to 17.1**

10 Regarding Request No. 2, Responding Party did not specifically access Plaintiff's website to
11 acquire email addresses. Responding Party on behalf of ConnectU accessed Plaintiff's website to
12 see what was available on this site and to investigate whether its intellectual property had been
misappropriated. On certain occasions, Responding Party on behalf of ConnectU downloaded email
13 addresses that were available on this site. Persons knowledgeable regarding the response to this
Interrogatory may include Cameron Winklevoss, Tyler Winklevoss, and Winston Williams.
14 Documents concerning ConnectU's access were identified in ConnectU's second amended response
to Plaintiff's first set of Requests for Production.

15 Regarding Request No. 3, Responding Party did not specifically access Plaintiff's website to
16 identify the colleges and universities included in the online directory. ConnectU accessed Plaintiff's
website to see what was available on this site and to investigate whether its intellectual property had
17 been misappropriated. Responding Party does recall seeing some colleges and universities on this
site. Persons knowledgeable regarding the response to this Interrogatory may include Cameron
18 Winklevoss, Tyler Winklevoss, and Winston Williams. Documents concerning ConnectU's access
were identified in ConnectU's second amended response to Plaintiff's first set of Requests for
Production.

19 Regarding Request No. 6, Responding Party did not access Plaintiff's website to circumvent
20 known security features on the website. Responding Party on behalf of ConnectU accessed
Plaintiff's website to see what was available on this site and to investigate whether its intellectual
21 property had been misappropriated. Persons knowledgeable regarding the response to this
Interrogatory may include Cameron Winklevoss, Tyler Winklevoss, and Winston Williams.
22 Documents concerning ConnectU's access were identified in ConnectU's second amended response
to Plaintiff's first set of Requests for Production.

23 Regarding Request No. 7, Responding Party does not recall using more than one user name
24 and password to access Plaintiff's website.

25 Regarding Request No. 8, while some of the email addresses obtained from Plaintiff's
website were used to invite some of the members of Plaintiff's website to join ConnectU, the
26 majority were not so used. See also ConnectU's response to this Interrogatory. Persons
knowledgeable regarding the response to this Interrogatory may include Cameron Winklevoss, Tyler
27 Winklevoss, and Winston Williams. Documents concerning these invitations were identified in
ConnectU's second amended response to Plaintiff's first set of Requests for Production.

1 Regarding Request No. 9, some users of ConnectU have requested that ConnectU invite their
2 friends who are users of Facebook's website to join ConnectU. ConnectU has sent emails to some of
3 the email addresses obtained from Facebook to invite Facebook users to join ConnectU. Persons
4 knowledgeable regarding the response to this Interrogatory may include Cameron Winklevoss, Tyler
5 Winklevoss, and Winston Williams. Documents concerning these invitations were identified in
6 ConnectU's second amended response to Plaintiff's first set of Requests for Production.

7 Regarding Request No. 10, while Responding Party is unsure of what Plaintiff intended by
8 the word "data" in addition to some email addresses and profiles, ConnectU did download from
9 Plaintiff's website publicly available course which Responding Party is informed and believed was
10 initially located on University Registrar websites. Persons knowledgeable regarding the response to
11 this Interrogatory include Cameron Winklevoss and Tyler Winklevoss.

12 Regarding Request No. 11, ConnectU did not track and does not know whether an invitation
13 it sent to a Facebook user resulted in this user's decision to join connectu.com. Persons
14 knowledgeable regarding the response to this Interrogatory include Cameron Winklevoss, Tyler
15 Winklevoss, and Winston Williams. ConnectU is not aware of any documents regarding this
16 response.

17 Regarding Request No. 12, ConnectU retained Pacific Northwest Software for the purpose of
18 developing and furthering the ConnectU website. Pacific Northwest Software worked with some
19 email addresses that ConnectU obtained from Plaintiff's website. Persons knowledgeable regarding
20 the response to this Interrogatory may include Cameron Winklevoss, Tyler Winklevoss, and Winston
21 Williams. Documents concerning ConnectU's access were identified in ConnectU's second
22 amended response to Plaintiff's first set of Requests for Production.

23 Regarding Request No. 13, ConnectU retained Winston Williams of Pacific Northwest
24 Software for the purpose of developing and furthering the ConnectU website. Winston Williams of
25 Pacific Northwest Software worked with some email addresses that ConnectU obtained from
26 Plaintiff's website. Persons knowledgeable regarding the response to this Interrogatory may include
27 Cameron Winklevoss, Tyler Winklevoss, and Winston Williams. Documents concerning
28 ConnectU's access were identified in ConnectU's second amended response to Plaintiff's first set of
Requests for Production.

Regarding Request No. 16, Responding Party does not recall if Plaintiff's website included
"Terms of Use". Persons knowledgeable regarding the response to this Interrogatory may include
Cameron Winklevoss and Tyler Winklevoss. ConnectU is not aware of any documents regarding
this response.

Regarding Request No. 17, Responding Party does not recall if Plaintiff's website included
"Terms of Use", or if it did, what those terms were. Persons knowledgeable regarding the response
to this Interrogatory may include Cameron Winklevoss, and Tyler Winklevoss. ConnectU is not
aware of any documents regarding this response.

Regarding Request No. 18, Responding Party does not recall if Plaintiff's website included
"Terms of Use", or if it did, what those terms were. ConnectU never agreed to be bound by any
terms of use. Persons knowledgeable regarding the response to this Interrogatory may include
Cameron Winklevoss, and Tyler Winklevoss. ConnectU is not aware of any documents regarding
this response.

Regarding Request No. 19, Responding Party does not recall if Plaintiff's website included
"Terms of Use", or if it did, what those terms were. Persons knowledgeable regarding the response
to this Interrogatory may include Cameron Winklevoss and Tyler Winklevoss. ConnectU is not
aware of any documents regarding this response.

1 Regarding Request No. 20, Responding Party does not recall if Plaintiff's website included
2 "Terms of Use", or if it did, what those terms were. Persons knowledgeable regarding the response
3 to this Interrogatory may include Cameron Winklevoss and Tyler Winklevoss. ConnectU is not
4 aware of any documents regarding this response.

5 Regarding Request No. 21, Responding Party does not recall if Plaintiff's website included
6 "Terms of Use", or if it did, what those terms were. Persons knowledgeable regarding the response
7 to this Interrogatory may include Cameron Winklevoss, and Tyler Winklevoss. ConnectU is not
8 aware of any documents regarding this response.

9 Regarding Request No. 22, ConnectU does not currently use a data import program called
10 "Social Butterfly". Persons knowledgeable regarding the response to this Interrogatory may include
11 Cameron Winklevoss, Tyler Winklevoss, and Winston Williams. ConnectU is not aware of any
12 documents regarding this response.

13 Regarding Request No. 23, Responding Party is not aware of any member of a social
14 networking site who "switched" to ConnectU. Some ConnectU members requested that ConnectU
15 access Plaintiff's website for the purposes of inviting their friends to join ConnectU. Under these
16 circumstances, the Social Butterfly software facilitated this process. Persons knowledgeable
17 regarding the response to this Interrogatory may include Cameron Winklevoss, Tyler Winklevoss,
18 and Winston Williams. ConnectU is not aware of any documents regarding this response.

19 Regarding Request No. 24, Responding Party did not obtain email accounts from Plaintiff's
20 website. On certain occasions, ConnectU downloaded email addresses that were available on this
21 site. Regarding some of these email addresses, see the response immediately above. Persons
22 knowledgeable regarding the response to this Interrogatory may include Cameron Winklevoss, Tyler
23 Winklevoss, and Winston Williams.

24 Regarding Request No. 25 Responding Party does not recall if Plaintiff's website included
25 "Terms of Use", or if it did, what those terms were. ConnectU never agreed to be bound by any
26 terms of use. ConnectU never obtained "email accounts". Persons knowledgeable regarding the
27 response to this Interrogatory may include Cameron Winklevoss and Tyler Winklevoss. ConnectU
28 is not aware of any documents regarding this response.

Response to 50.3

19 Plaintiff has cited 3 paragraphs of what it contends to be part of its "Terms of Use" in its
20 complaint. Responding Party is not a party to any agreement or contract involving Plaintiff. To the
21 extent Plaintiff is claiming a breach of contract, Responding Party has no knowledge of any
22 agreement or the terms of such agreement. Responding Party is informed and believes that a
23 contract cannot be enforced against an individual or entity who did not agree to the terms of the
24 contract and/or did not receive adequate consideration. Responding Party was not subject to the
25 provisions alleged in Plaintiff's complaint.

Response to 50.4

24 Plaintiff has cited 3 paragraphs of what it contends to be part of its "Terms of Use" in its
25 complaint. Responding Party is not a party to any agreement or contract involving Plaintiff.
26 Responding Party is not aware of any agreement, release, accord and satisfaction or novation as it or
27 they concern Responding Party or Plaintiff.
28

1 **Response to 50.5**

2 Plaintiff has cited 3 paragraphs of what it contends to be part of its "Terms of Use" in its
3 complaint. Responding Party is not a party to any agreement or contract involving Plaintiff. To the
4 extent Plaintiff is claiming a breach of contract, Responding Party has no knowledge of any
5 agreement or the terms of such agreement. Responding Party is informed and believes that a
contract cannot be enforced against an individual or entity who did not agree to the terms of the
contract and/or did not receive adequate consideration. Responding Party therefore contends that the
provisions cited in Plaintiff's complaint are not enforceable.

6 **Response to 50.6**


7 Plaintiff has not cited in its complaint the entire document it purportedly contends to be an
8 agreement. ConnectU does not have any document that would support Plaintiff's apparent
9 contention that ConnectU or Responding Party is a party to an agreement with Plaintiff. Therefore,
Responding Party cannot respond as to whether this alleged agreement contains ambiguous terms.

1 VERIFICATION

2 DIVYA NARENDRA, under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California,
3 states as follows:

- 4 1. That he is one of the defendants in the above-entitled action;
- 5 2. That he has read the foregoing AMENDED RESPONSE OF DEFENDANT DIVYA
6 NARENDRA TO FORM INTERROGATORIES and knows the contents thereof, and that the same
7 is true of his own knowledge, save and except as to the matters which are therein stated on his
8 information or belief, and as to those matters, he believes it to be true.

9 Executed on the 31 day of March, 2006.

10 
11 Divya Narendra
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28